

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,648	10/23/2001	Takeo Kanade	010329	6170	
26285 7590 12/16/2009 K&L GATES LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET			EXAMINER		
			ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/032,648 KANADE ET AL. Examiner Art Unit CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE 2621 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- r Reply POTENED STATLING PEPIOD EGD PEPI VIS SET TO EXPIDE 2 MONTH(S) OP THIRTY (20) DAYS

	CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1-13  after 50 (5) MORTES from the validity date of the communication of  Failure to reply within the act or actended period for reply will by statute,  Any yeply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing- earmed patter therm digitations. Poss 37 CPR 1-740E.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ap	ril 2009.						
D This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-36</u> is/are pending in the app	lication						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2001 is/are:		to by the Examin	ier.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	onemy amade do eleter y motal	(4) 5. (1).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ol>	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (RTO 903)	4) Intension Summers	(DTO 412)					

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Internation Tockdowne Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date Pape	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) NetGeo of Informat Fater##pplication. 6) Other:	

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :20011023, 20021107, 20031027, 20050126, 20050516.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,648 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to application number (10032648) filed on October 23, 2001. Claims 1-3 and 5-36 are pending and have been examined.

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant makes the argument that Foote teaches a one composite image and not a sequence of images. The examiner disagrees with applicant's view of a sequence of images. The term sequence is defined as a continuous or connected series. The composite image represents a connected series of images and therefore meets the claim limitation regarding the sequence of images.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-11, 14-24, and 27-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foote et al (US 7,015,954, hereafter Foote).

Art Unit: 2621

As per **claim 1**, Foote discloses a system for obtaining video of a moving fixation point within a scene, comprising:

a control unit (col 6 lines 37-43; sends out commands regarding pan, zoom);

a plurality of non-moving image capturing devices positioned around the scene, wherein the scene is within a field of view of each image capturing device (Fig 1A element 10, col 5 lines 51-53 and 57-61);

a plurality of image generators (Fig 1A element 10), wherein each image generator is in communication with one of the image capturing devices, and wherein a first of the image generators is responsive to a command from the control unit (col 11 lines 53-55), and wherein the plurality of image generators are each for generating image frames based on one or more images captured by their associated image capturing device; and

a surround-view image sequence generator (Fig 12 element 1220) in communication with each of the image generators and responsive to the command from the control unit for generating a surround-view video sequence of the fixation point based on output from certain of the image generators (column 11 lines 59-62), wherein the surround-view video sequence comprises a sequence of image frames, wherein each image frame in the sequence is from one the plurality of image generators and the image frames are sequenced based on a placement of the image capturing devices around the scene, and wherein the surround-view image sequence generator is for determining a viewing angle parameter and a zoom parameter for each of the image

Art Unit: 2621

generators except the first image generators based on a command from the control unit such that the fixation point is in the image frame generated by each of the image generators and such that the size of a point of interest at the fixation point is the same for each image generator (column 11 lines 59-62), and

wherein the image generators other than the first image generator are configured to generate the image frame based on the one or more images captured from the image generators' associated image capturing devices based on the viewing angle parameter and the zoom parameter received from the surround-view image sequence generator (column 6 lines 37-43 and column 11 lines 59-62).

As per claim 2, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising an inter-image capturing device calibration database in communication with the surround-view image sequence generator (col 9 lines 52-61; Foote discloses calibration between two cameras).

As per **claim 3**, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the first image generator is responsive to a viewing angle command and a zoom command from the control unit (col 6 lines 37-43).

As per **claim 5**, Foote discloses the system of claim 4, wherein the surroundview image sequence generator includes:

a mapping module for outputting a command to each of the image generators other than the first image generator based on the command from the control unit (col 11 lines 59-62); and

Art Unit: 2621

an image sequencing module in communication with each of the image generators for outputting the image from certain of the image generators in sequence according to the position of the image generators around the scene (col 11 lines 59-62).

As per claim 6, Foote discloses the system of claim 4, further comprising an inter-image capturing device calibration database in communication with the mapping module (col 9 lines 52-61).

As per **claim 7**, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, wherein each of the image capturing devices includes a camera bank including a plurality of non-moving cameras (Fig 1b, Col 5 Ln 64 - 66).

As per claim 8, Foote et al disclose the system of claim 7, wherein at least one of the image generators is in communication with an intra-bank calibration database (Col 6 Ln 19-43; the prior art discloses the images being taken coming from individual cameras which serve as the database for the images taken by that camera).

As per claim 9, Foote discloses wherein each of the image capturing devices includes a non-moving panoramic wide field of view camera (Fig 2A, Col 6 Ln 19-30).

As per **claim 10**, Foote discloses wherein each of the image capturing devices is selected from the group consisting of a non-moving panoramic wide field of view camera and a camera bank having a plurality of non-moving cameras (Col 6 Ln 31-43).

As per claim 11, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the image capturing devices are periodically positioned around the scene (col 7 lines 4-7).

Art Unit: 2621

As per claim 14, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, the system further comprising a computer vision module in communication with the control unit (col 7 lines 64-67).

As per claim 15, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the computer vision module is further for selecting a second image generator to be responsive to the command from the control unit (col 7 lines 64-67).

As per claim 16, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising

a second control unit, wherein one of the image generators (Fig 1A element 10) is responsive to a command from the second control unit (col 6 lines 37-43), and

wherein the surround-view image sequence generator is further for generating a second surround-view video sequence of a second fixation point within the scene based on output from certain of the image generators and the command from the second control unit (col 11 lines 59-62).

As per **claim 17**, Foote discloses the system of claim 16, wherein the first image generator (Fig 1A, element 10) is responsive to the command from the second control unit (col 6 lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 18, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 and 3-5 are applicable for claim 18.

Regarding claim 19, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 6 are applicable for claim 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,648
Art Unit: 2621

Regarding **claim 20**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 10 are applicable for claim 20.

Regarding claim 21, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 11 are applicable for claim 21.

Regarding claim 22, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 14 are applicable for claim 22.

Regarding claim 23, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 3, 5, and 16 are applicable for claim 23.

Regarding claim 24, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 3 are applicable for claim 24.

Regarding claim 27, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 1 and 18 are applicable for claim 27.

Regarding claim 28, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 10 are applicable for claim 28.

Regarding claim 29, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 11 are applicable for claim 29.

Regarding claim 30, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 3 are applicable for claim 20.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 31, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 3, 4, and 12 are applicable for claim 31.

Regarding claim 32, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 13 are applicable for claim 32.

Regarding **claim 33**, argument analogous to those presented for claims 1 and 3 are applicable for claim 33.

Foote et al teach a virtual camera (Col 6 Ln 31-43).

Regarding claim 34, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 are applicable for claim 34.

Regarding claim 35, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 23 and 33 are applicable for claim 35.

Regarding **claim 36**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 are applicable for claim 36 (the prior art describes a system that is operating continuously and therefore take multiple images to produce multiple scenes based on the position given to the system).

Regarding claim 37, arguments analogous to those presented for 1 and 33 are applicable for claim 37.

Regarding claim 38, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 are applicable for claim 38.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding **claim 39**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 6 are applicable for claim 39.

Regarding **claim 41**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 40 are applicable for claim 41.

Regarding claim 42, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable for claim 42.

 Claims 12-13 and 25-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foote et al (US 7,015,954) in view of DiMatteo (US 4,396,945).

As per claim 12, Foote discloses the system of claim 1, a system further comprising:

an additional image generator in communication with the moving camera and in communication with the surround-view image sequence generator col 11 lines 53-55),

wherein the additional image generator is responsive to a second command based on the command from the control unit (col 6 lines 39-43).

However, Foote does not explicitly teach a system further comprising:

a moving camera having a field of view within the scene; and

In the same field of endeavor, DiMatteo et al teach a system further comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

a moving camera (Fig 2, element 17) having a field of view within the scene (Col 3 Ln 6-8; the prior art discloses that the cameras are servo controlled to center the field of view).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Foote in view of DiMatteo et al. The high optical magnification optimizes the angle determining precision of the system (Col 3 Ln 10-12).

As per claim 13, Foote discloses the system of claim 12, wherein the moving camera includes a pan/tilt camera (col 6 lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 25, arguments analogous to those presented for claims 5 and 12 are applicable for claim 25.

Regarding claim 26, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 13 are applicable for claim 26.

## Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP Application/Control Number: 10/032,648
Art Unit: 2621

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE whose telephone number is (571)270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272 - 7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,648 Page 12

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /Chikaodili E Anyikire/ Patent Examiner AU 2621